## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REFUGIO PINEDO HUIZAR,	Case No. C08-00256-SI
Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
v.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation,	
Defendant.	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-	onferred regarding ADR and have reached the 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
<b>Court Processes:</b>	
□ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)	
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (EN	E) (ADR L.R. 5)
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlem appreciably more likely to meet their needs that ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1	t any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
☐ Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	
the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadline	
Dated: April 4, 2008	/s/ Fred W. Schwinn Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: <u>April 4, 2008</u>	/s/ Debbie P. Kirkpatrick Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:	
	Non-binding Arbitration
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
$\leftarrow$	Mediation
	Private ADR
Deadline for A	ADR session
$\longrightarrow \square$	90 days from the date of this order.
	other
IT IS SO ORDERED	
Dated:	Suran Illaton
	UNITED STATES
	DISTRICT/ <del>MAGISTRATE JUDG</del> E